

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/030,527	COCKERILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. John Lemanowicz

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all claims

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request a new copy of the claims because of the poor visual quality of the claim set submitted 11/03/04. Mr. John Lemanowicz sent a new copy which will be incorporated in an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)